

Stable ∞ -categories.

In doing derived algebraic geometry most of the ∞ -categories we will encounter will be ∞ -categorical analogues of abelian (or additive) categories. The theory of these special ∞ -cats is very rich and easier to work with than arbitrary ∞ -categories.

We need a couple of definitions:

- An ∞ -category \mathcal{L} is said to be pointed if it has an object $0 \in \mathcal{L}$ which is both initial & final;
- A pushout diagram:

$X \rightarrow Y$ is called a cofiber sequence.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \end{array} \quad (\Delta) \quad (\text{Sometimes abbreviated to:})$$

$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$, but notice the map $0 \xrightarrow{f} Z$ is some data, together w/ the witness of commutativity of (Δ) .

- A pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \rightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & Z \end{array} \quad \text{is}$$

called a fiber sequence. $(X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z)$.

- Given a morphism $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ a cofiber of f is a pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \dashrightarrow & Gf(b(f)) \end{array}$$

a fiber of f is a pullback diagram: $\text{Fib}(f) \rightarrow X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \dashrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

Def'n: An ∞ -category \mathcal{L} is said to be stable if:

- (a) \mathcal{L} is pointed;
 - (b) every morphism in \mathcal{L} has a fiber & cofiber;
 - (c) a diagram $\begin{array}{ccc} X & \rightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & ? \end{array}$ is a fiber sequence iff it is a cofiber sequence.

Here is a more succinct repackaging of the above, and also consequences of these conditions for finite limits & colimits.

Prop: A pointed category \mathcal{E} is stable iff:

- (i) \mathcal{E} admits all finite limits & colimits; and
 - (ii) every pushout square is a pullback square.

The following result gives an idea of what the underlying homotopy category of an ∞ -category ~~feels~~ can look like:

Prop: Given \mathcal{C} a stable ∞ -category, $\mathrm{h}\mathcal{C}$ is a triangulated category

Idea of proof: $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ in \mathcal{L} is a distinguished triangle iff it is the image of a (co)fiber sequence in \mathcal{S} . In this case, we get a map: $\square \rightarrow \square \rightarrow \square$

$$\text{from } \begin{matrix} 0 & \perp & y \\ x & & x \end{matrix} \rightarrow \begin{matrix} 0 & \perp & 0 \\ x & & x \end{matrix}$$

Then check the axioms of a triangulated category. [HA, Thm 1.1.7-14].

 One gets the octahedral axiom for free.

One of the advantages of working w/ a stable ∞ -category is that we have fiber and cotfiber functors.

Lemma: For \mathcal{L} a stable ∞ -category, one has a functor:

$$\text{Gfib}: \text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}.$$

$$(X \rightarrow Y) \mapsto Z \quad \text{for some } Z$$

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

$$\begin{matrix} \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow Z \end{matrix}$$

Warning:
The analogue
of this Lemma
for triangulated
categories does
not work.

Pf: let $L_0 := 0 \rightarrow 1$ and $z: [1] \rightarrow L_0$ the
evident inclusion.
E.g. in $D(k)$

We claim that $RKE_z(f): L_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, $f \in \text{Fun}([1], \mathcal{L})$
exists. and $RKE_z(f)(\mathbb{1}_Z) \simeq 0$.

($L = 0$)
(or $= \text{id}_{k[-1]}$). Indeed, by Lemma (b) of last we need to check:

$$\lim_{[1] \times L_0^{\otimes n}} f = \lim_{[1]} f = \text{ev}_0 \circ f, \quad \lim_{[1] \times L_0^{\otimes n}} f = \lim_{[1]} f = \text{ev}_1 \circ f$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{[1] \times (L_0^{\otimes n})^{\otimes k}} f = \lim_{[1]} f = \mathbb{1}_0^{\otimes k} = 0_p.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Similarly, let } f: L_0 & \hookrightarrow & \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \\ & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ & & z \rightarrow 3 \end{array} = [1] \times [1]$$

We claim Lemma (a) from last time gives: $LKE_f(RKE_z(f)): [1] \times [1] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$
moreover $\text{ev}_3 \circ \bar{f} \simeq 0 \amalg_{\text{ev}_0 \circ f} 0$.

$$\text{So } \text{Gfib}(f) := \text{ev}_3 \circ \bar{f}.$$

Rk: In particular note that $\Sigma_\mathcal{E}$. One can also define functors
 $\sum_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $Rg : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ whose value on
objects is given by:

$$\sum_{\mathcal{E}}(x) = \underset{x}{0 \amalg 0}, \quad Rg(x) = \underset{x}{0 \times 0}.$$

For instance, let $M^\Sigma \in F_n([1] \times [1], \mathcal{E})$

\Downarrow

$\begin{cases} \text{ev}_X \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{ev}_Y \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$. Then Lemma from last time is equivalent
to $M^\Sigma \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} \mathcal{E}$. is a trivial
 $(-1 \mapsto X)$ fibration.

Let $s : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M^\Sigma$ be a section, we let

$$\sum_{\mathcal{E}} := \text{ev}_3 \circ s : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad \text{ev}_3 : M^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{E}.$$

$(-1 \mapsto Y)$

One also denote these functors by: $Rg(x) := X[-1]$ and
 $\sum_{\mathcal{E}}(x) := X[1]$.

The following is a useful result to check if some ∞ -category is stable

[HA, Prop. 1.4.2.11] Prop: Let \mathcal{E} be a pointed ∞ -category, TFAE:

& [HA, Gr. 1.4.2.27].

- (i) \mathcal{E} is stable;
- (ii) \mathcal{E} has finite limits and Rg is an equivalence;
- (iii) \mathcal{E} has finite colimits and $\Sigma_{\mathcal{E}}$ is an equivalence.

Let's finally discuss some examples of stable categories.

Example: (i)

Let Spc_* denote the ∞ -category of pointed spaces, notice $\star \in \text{Spc}_*$.

So $\mathcal{R}: \text{Spc}_* \rightarrow \text{Spc}_*$ is the pointed loop functor.

$$\begin{aligned} X &\mapsto \star \times_X \star \\ &\quad \downarrow \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that \mathcal{R} is not an equivalence. (i.e. works on characterizing \oplus of loop spaces). In fact, objects in the essential image has some form of group structure.

Also \mathcal{R} is not fully faithful. (not full).

The Refl.: The ∞ -category of spectra is defined as the following limit (in Cat_{∞}):

$$\text{Spc}_* := \lim (\dots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} \text{Spc}_* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} \text{Spc}_*).$$

Spc_* is stable. Clear from the last Proposition.

(ii) Let k be a commutative ring and consider $\mathcal{D}(k)$ its ∞ -category derived

Claim: $\mathcal{D}(k)$ is stable. ① check $\underline{\text{Ch}}(\text{Mod}_k^{\oplus})$ has finite homotopy

③ check $\Sigma_{\mathcal{D}(k)}$ is an equivalence. Glueing.

In $\underline{\text{Ch}}(\text{Mod}_k^{\oplus})$ a pushout diagram $M^* \xrightarrow{f} (M')^*$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & f & \\ M^* & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ N^* & \rightarrow & (N')^* \end{array}$$

where- f is degree wise split is also a homotopy pushout diagram.
The ordinary pushout: computes. the

Thus, we can calculate $M^* \rightarrow M^* \oplus M'^*$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \sum_{\mathcal{D}(k)} (M^*) \end{array}$$

pushout in $\mathcal{D}(k)$.

Since $\Rightarrow \Sigma(M^*)$
which is an $\cong M'^{-1}$

Def'n:

A functor $F: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between stable ∞ -cats. is exact if
 ↪ it preserves pullbacks, equivalently pushouts

We want to introduce a nice class of stable ∞ -cats.

Def'n: An ∞ -category \mathcal{L} is said to be cocomplete if
 it contains all ~~filtered~~ colimits.

For \mathcal{L} stable TFAE:

- (i) \mathcal{L} is cocomplete;
- (ii) \mathcal{L} admits all filtered colimits;
- (iii) \mathcal{L} admits all direct sums. (coproducts).

A functor $F: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between cocomplete stable ∞ -categories
 is continuous. If F preserves colimits, i.e. F is exact &
 preserve filtered colimits.

We will be interested in the ∞ -category: ~~of Cato^{st. objects}~~
 where objects are ∞ -categories & functors are continuous factors.

Universal properties of Spc & Spc_τ .

Lemma: Let $i: * \hookrightarrow \text{Spc}$ be the inclusion of trivial ∞ -cat. into
 spaces. A $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Cato}$ one has:

$\text{Fun}(*, \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\text{LkE}_2} \text{Fun}(\text{Spc}, \mathcal{B})$

$$\text{Fun}(*, \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow[\sim]{(-)^{\otimes 2}} \text{Fun}(\text{Spc}, \mathcal{B}) \quad \text{with s.t.}$$

$$\text{Fun}_n(*, \mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow[\text{LkE}_2]{} \text{Fun}^L_n(\text{Spc}, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq \text{Fun}(\text{Spc}, \mathcal{B}).$$

ii
colimit preserving factors.